Assessment Schedule – 2009

Accounting: Analyse and interpret information for a sole proprietor(s) (90028) Evidence Statement

Codes used:

A Analyse

I Interpret at recognise level

F Interpret at fully explain level – the depth of the answer

Question	Evidence			Code	
ONE					
(a) (i)	Analysis Measure	2008	2009		Α
	Gross profit %	60%	50%		
(ii)	An interpret level answer will make no reference to <i>Sushi Heaven</i> and refers to only one of the cost of goods sold, the mark up or the selling price.				
	eg The business could sold.	increase the mark	up OR decrease the	e cost of goods	OR
	Implied reason accepted for I, eg Find a cheaper supplier/increase the selling price A fully interpret level answer will refer to Sushi Heaven (or Peter) and increase in gross profit percentage and any TWO of:				F
	salespurchases				
	 markup the cost of goods sold selling price a suitable example. 				
	4 steps				
	1. eg Peter / Sushi Heaven could				
	2. increase the mark up, which would				
	3. increase the selling price, which would				
	4. increase gross profit percentage				
	eg decrease the cost o would increase gross p the selling price, which the gross profit percent	orofit and increase of will increase the m	gross profit percenta	age OR increase	

Question	Evidence				Code	
ONE	Analysis Measure	2008	2009			
(b) (i)	Mark-up %	150%	1	100%		Α
(ii)	An interpret level answer will make no reference to the cost of goods sold, the markup and the effect on gross profit, eg The business could have reduced the selling price to encourage more sales OR there has been a change in the sales mix to lower mark up products/sushi OR more discount sales this year/theft of stock has occurred. A fully interpret level answer will refer to <i>Sushi Heaven</i> (or Peter) and decrease the mark-up percentage any TWO of:				sold,	I
						OR
						F
	the cost of goods s					
	gross profit					
	a suitable example					
	4 steps					
	1. eg Sushi Heaven o					
	2. decreased their ma		e, by discount	ing prices whic	ch could	
	3. decrease the gross profit and					
	4. decrease the mark-up percentage					
	eg increased their cost of goods sold, by costs increasing from a supplier/ freight, and this would increase cost of goods sold and decrease the mark up percentage.					
(c) (i)	Analysis	Measure	2008	2009		
(-) ()	Distribution c		12%	10%		Α
	Administratio		7%	7.5%		Α
	Finance cost Net profit %	%	15% 26%	17.5% 15%		Α
(ii)	The finance cost percentage of 17.5% means that for every \$1 of sales, 17.5/ 18 cents is spent on finance costs OR 17.5% of sales are spent on finance costs.				I	
(iii)	An interpret level answ	ver will suggest a	decrease in a	specific expens	se.	ı
, ,	An interpret level answer will suggest a decrease in a specific expense. eg There has been a decrease in advertising.					
						OR
	A fully interpret level	fully interpret level answer will give the name Sushi Heaven/Peter.				
	4 Steps					F
	1. Sushi Heaven/Peter has					
	2. decreased advertising, which					
	3. decreases distribution costs, which					
	4. decreases the distribution cost percentage.					
(iv)	An interpret level answer will suggest a valid way to improve the finance cost percentage.				nce cost	I
	eg Refinance at a lower rate of interest/Repay the overdraft (which decreases the finance cost percentage)/Repay the loan (which decreases the finance cost percentage).					

Question	Evidence				Code
ONE (v)	An interpret level answer will suggest a change in a specific item in order to improve profitability, eg increase the mark up/a specific example of how to decrease the expense.				
	A fully interpret level answer will link to <i>Sushi Heaven/</i> Peter and give a reason for the improvement and link to increasing net profit/profitability. eg The increase in mark-up leads to an increase in the profitability the business is able to obtain from each dollar of sale, therefore increases net profit.				F
	OR				
	Candidate answer provid	les for a specific o	expense:		
	4 steps				
	1. Sushi Heaven/Peter				
	 decrease electricity decreasing expenses 				
	4. increasing the profitability/net profit.				
(d) (i)					
	Analysis Measure	2008	2009		Α
	Return on equity %	24%	16%		
(ii)	(ii) An interpret level answer will make no specific reference to 24%/0.24 cents. It is the return/reward/profit on the owner's investment in the business. A fully interpret level answer will make specific reference to the meaning of 24%, eg It tells Peter that for every \$1 invested, he will receive 24 cents return/reward/profit.				I
					OR
					F
					г
	For F reference must be	to Peter, not Sus	hi Heaven.		

Question	Evidence			Code	
TWO	Analysis Measure	2008	2009		
(a) (i)	Liquid Ratio	1.4:1	.75:1		Α
(ii)	An interpret level answer will suggest a change in a component of the current ratio, eg accounts payable has increased/the bank overdraft has increased.				I OR
	A fully interpret level the current asset or inccurrent ratio. Note: Any reference to F.	t liability, and the de	ecrease on the	F	
	4 Steps 1. Sushi Heaven/Pet 2. purchased (fixed a 3. increased the curre 4. which decreased the eg increase in the securincreases the current liamount of drawings, we current liabilities, decreases	sset) using accountent liabilities, ne current ratio. ured bank overdraft abilities, decreasing thich increases the	(borrowed more mog the current ratio/ to bank overdraft, which	ook out a large	
(iii)	<u> </u>				ı
	Note: Liquid, immediat	e, or quick assets a	are allowed.		OR
	A fully interpret level eg Sushi Heaven has S liabilities and, therefore debts. OR an interpretation equipmediate debts (debts)	\$1.40 of immediate e, the business showing Sushi Heaven/Pe	assets for every \$1 uld be able to meet eter should be able to	of immediate the immediate	F
(iv)	An interpret level ansy Liquid ratio, eg owner i business loan/owner s Answer includes the re liquid liabilities/increas <i>Heaven</i> , which increas	wer will suggest a c nvested more cash old a fixed asset. sult of the transacti e in liquid assets A	hange in a componinto the business/o	owner took out a OR decrease on	I OR F
	4 Steps 1. If Peter / Sushi Head 2. generates cash in 3. more than the band 4. increases the liquid eg If Peter / Sushi Head Receivable OR increase Note: Any reference to F.	any way, it must be k overdraft OR red d ratio. ven sells inventory of ses liquid assets, when the sells inventory of the ses liquid assets, when the ses liquid assets in the ses liquid assets.	uce Accounts Paya on credit, which incr nich increases the li	reases Accounts iquid ratio.	

Question	Evidence				Code
TWO	Analysis Measure	2008	2009		
(b) (i)	Equity ratio	0.56:1	0.42:1		Α
(ii)	An interpret level answer will suggest a change in a component of the equity ratio, eg Owner has taken more money out of the business/has borrowed more/purchased Property, Plant and Equipment on credit/increased funding from outsiders.			I OR	
	A fully interpret level answer will include the result of the transaction on an account OR decrease assets/increases liabilities and reference to Peter or <i>Sushi Heaven</i> , which decreased the equity/equity ratio.				F
	4 Steps				
	1. Peter/Sushi Heav	en has			
	2. withdrawn more m	oney out of the bus	iness,		
	3. increasing bank overdraft, which				
	4. decreases his equity/equity ratio. eg Peter or Sushi Heaven has borrowed some money on a long-term loan, which increased the liabilities, which decreases his equity/equity ratio.				
(iii)	An interpret level anso the equity ratio, eg Ow business/reduce draw	ner will need to inve	est more money/ca	pital into the	ı
A fully interpret level answer will give an action with th transaction on an account			action with the resu	ult of the	OR -
	OR increases assets/decreases liabilities and reference to Peter or Sushi Heaven, which increases the equity/equity ratio.				F
	4 Steps				
	 Peter will need to invest more money into the business, which will 				
	3. decrease his liabili				
	4. increase his equity	/equity percentage	1		

Judgement Statement

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
3 A	5 A	5 A	
4 I/F	1 F	4 F	
	7 other I/F	7 other I/F	

Lower case ${\bf a}$, ${\bf m}$, ${\bf e}$ may be used throughout the paper to indicate contributing evidence for overall grades for questions.